arXiv:1405.2662v1 [math.RA] 12 May 2014

Representations and T^*-extensions of hom-Jordan-Lie algebras

Jun Zhao, Liangyun Chen, Lili Ma

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northeast Normal University,
Changchun 130024, China

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to study representations and T^*-extensions of hom-Jordan-Lie algebras. In particular, adjoint representations, trivial representations, deformations and many properties of T^*-extensions of hom-Jordan-Lie algebras are studied in detail. Derivations and central extensions of hom-Jordan-Lie algebras are also discussed as an application.

Keywords: hom-Jordan-Lie algebras, representations, derivations, deformations, T^*-extensions

MSC(2010): 17A99, 17B56

1 Introduction

The definition of hom-Lie algebras was introduced by Hartwig, Larsson and silverstory [4] to character the structures on deformations of the Witt and the Virasoro algebras. If the skew-symmetric bracket of the hom-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ is replaced by Jordan-symmetric bracket, then the triple $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]', \alpha)$ is called a hom-Jordan-Lie algebra. It is clear that the hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \mathrm{id})$ is the Jordan-Lie algebra L itself. Recently, hom-Lie algebras were generalized to hom-Lie superalgebras by Ammar and Makhlouf. Quadratic hom-Lie algebras were studied first in [5]. Then the study was extended to color hom-Lie algebras in [1]. More applications of the hom-Lie algebras, hom-algebras and hom-Lie superalgebras can be found in [1-8, 11].

The notion of Jordan-Lie algebras was introduced in [9], which is intimately related to both Lie and Jordan superalgebras. Engel's theorem of Jordan-Lie algebras was proved,

Corresponding author(L. Chen): chenly640@nenu.edu.cn.

Supported by NNSF of China (No. 11171055), Natural Science Foundation of Jilin province (No. 201115006), Scientific Research Foundation for Returned Scholars Ministry of Education of China.

and some properties of Cartan subalgebras of Jordan-Lie algebras were given in [10]. The purpose of this paper is to study representations and T^*-extensions of hom-Jordan-Lie algebras. In particular, adjoint representations, trivial representations, deformations and many properties of T^*-extensions of hom-Jordan-Lie algebras are studied in detail. Derivations and central extensions of hom-Jordan-Lie algebras are also discussed as an application.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give the definition of hom-Jordan-Lie algebras, and show that the direct sum of two hom-Jordan-Lie algebras is still a hom-Jordan-Lie algebra. A linear map between hom-Jordan-Lie algebras is a morphism if and only if its graph is a hom subalgebra. In section 3 we study derivations of multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebras. For any nonnegative integer k, we define α^k-derivations of multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebras. Considering the direct sum of the space of α-derivations, we prove that it is a Lie algebra (Proposition 3.3). In particular, any α-derivation gives rise to a derivation extension of the multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ (Theorem 3.5). In Section 4 we give the definition of representations of multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebras. We can obtain the semidirect product multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L \oplus V, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\rho_A}, \alpha + A)$ associated to any representation ρ_A on V of the multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ (Proposition 4.4). In Section 5 we study trivial representations of multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebras. We show that central extensions of a multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebra are controlled by the second cohomology with coefficients in the trivial representation (Theorem 5.1). In Section 6 we study the adjoint representation of a regular hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$. For any integer s, we define the α^{k+1}-derivations. We show that a 1-cocycle associated to the α^k-derivation is exactly an α^{k+1}-derivation of the regular hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ in some conditions (Proposition 6.3). We also give the definition of hom-Nijienhuis operators of regular hom-Jordan-Lie algebras. We show that the deformation generated by a hom-Nijienhuis operator is trivial. In Section 7 we study T^*-extensions of hom-Jordan-Lie algebras, show that T^*-extensions preserve many properties such as nilpotency, solvability and decomposition in some sense.

2 hom-Jordan-Lie algebras

Definition 2.1. [9] *A Jordan-Lie algebra is a couple $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L)$ consisting of a vector space L and a bilinear map (bracket) $[\cdot,\cdot]_L : L \times L \to L$ satisfying*

$$[x, y] = -\delta[y, x], \delta = \pm 1, \tag{1}$$

$$[x, [y, z]] + [y, [z, x]] + [z, [x, y]] = 0. \qquad \forall x, y, z \in L.$$

Definition 2.2. *(1) A hom-Jordan-Lie algebra is a triple $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ consisting of a vector space L, a bilinear map (bracket) $[\cdot,\cdot]_L : L \times L \to L$ and a map $\alpha : L \to L$ satisfying*

$$[x, y] = -\delta[y, x], \delta = \pm 1, \tag{2}$$

$$[\alpha(x),[y,z]]+[\alpha(y),[z,x]]+[\alpha(z),[x,y]]=0, \qquad \forall x,y,z\in Ł.$$

(2) A hom-Jordan-Lie algebra is multiplicative if α is an algebra morphism, i.e. for any $x,y,\in L$, we have $\alpha([x,y]_L)=[\alpha(x),\alpha(y)]_L$;

(3) A hom-Jordan-Lie algebra is regular if α is an algebra automorphism;

(4) A subvector space $\eta\subseteq L$ is a hom subalgebra of $(L,[\cdot,\cdot]_L,\alpha)$ if $\alpha(\eta)\subseteq\eta$ and

$$[x,y]_L\in\eta, \qquad \forall x,y\in\eta;$$

(5) A subvector space $\eta\subseteq L$ is a hom ideal of $(L,[\cdot,\cdot]_L,\alpha)$ if $\alpha(\eta)\subseteq\eta$ and

$$[x,y]_L\in\eta, \qquad \forall x\in\eta, y\in Ł.$$

Definition 2.3. *A δ-hom associative algebra is a couple (L,α) consisting of a vector space L, a bilinear map on L and a map $\alpha:L\to L$ satisfying*

$$(\lambda x)y=x(\lambda y)=\lambda(xy),\delta=\pm 1, \tag{3}$$

$$\alpha(x)(yz)=\delta(xy)\alpha(z). \qquad \forall x,y,z\in Ł.$$

Proposition 2.4. *A couple (L,α) is a δ-hom associative algebra, define a bilinear map (bracket) $[\cdot,\cdot]_L:L\times L\to L$ satisfying*

$$[x,y]=xy-\delta yx. \tag{4}$$

Then $(L,[\cdot,\cdot]_L,\alpha)$ is a hom-Jordan-Lie algebra.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\alpha(x),[y,z]]+c.p.(x,y,z)=[\alpha(x),yz-\delta zy]+c.p.(x,y,z)\\
= \;& \alpha(x)(yz)-\delta(yz)\alpha(x)-\delta\alpha(x)(zy)+(zy)\alpha(x)\\
& +\alpha(y)(zx)-\delta(zx)\alpha(y)-\delta\alpha(y)(xz)+(xz)\alpha(y)\\
& +\alpha(z)(xy)-\delta(xy)\alpha(z)-\delta\alpha(z)(yx)+(yx)\alpha(z),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha(x)(yz)=\delta(xy)\alpha(z),(zy)\alpha(x)=\delta\alpha(z)(yx);\\
&\alpha(y)(zx)=\delta(yz)\alpha(x),(xz)\alpha(y)=\delta\alpha(x)(zy);\\
&\alpha(z)(xy)=\delta(zx)\alpha(y),(yx)\alpha(z)=\delta\alpha(y)(xz).
\end{aligned}$$

Then $[\alpha(x),[y,z]]+c.p.(x,y,z)=0$. □

Proposition 2.5. *Given two hom-Jordan-Lie algebras $(L,[\cdot,\cdot]_L,\alpha)$ and $(\Gamma,[\cdot,\cdot]_\Gamma,\beta)$, there is a hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L\oplus\Gamma,[\cdot,\cdot]_{L\oplus\Gamma},\alpha+\beta)$, where the bilinear map $[\cdot,\cdot]_{L\oplus\Gamma}:(L\oplus\Gamma)\times(L\oplus\Gamma)\to L\oplus\Gamma$ is given by*

$$[u_1+v_1,u_2+v_2]_{L\oplus\Gamma}=[u_1,u_2]_L+[v_1,v_2]_\Gamma, \qquad \forall u_1\in L,u_2\in L,\forall v_1\in\Gamma,v_2\in\Gamma,$$

and the linear map $(\alpha+\beta):L\oplus\Gamma\to L\oplus\Gamma$ is given by

$$(\alpha+\beta)(u+v)=\alpha(u)+\beta(v), \qquad \forall u\in L,v\in\Gamma.$$

Proof. For any $u_i \in L, v_i \in \Gamma$, we have

$$[u_1+v_1, u_2+v_2]_{L\oplus\Gamma} = [u_1,u_2]_L + [v_1,v_2]_\Gamma,$$

$$-\delta[u_2+v_2, u_1+v_1]_{L\oplus\Gamma} = -\delta([u_2,u_1]_L + [v_2,v_1]_\Gamma) = [u_1,u_2]_L + [v_1,v_2]_\Gamma.$$

By a direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [(\alpha+\beta)(u_1+v_1), [u_2+v_2, u_3+v_3]_{L\oplus\Gamma}]_{L\oplus\Gamma} + c.p.((u_1+v_1),(u_2+v_2),(u_3+v_3)) \\ = \ & [\alpha(u_1)+\beta(v_1), [u_2,u_3]_L + [v_2,v_3]_\Gamma]_{L\oplus\Gamma} + c.p. \\ = \ & [\alpha(u_1),[u_2,u_3]_L]_L + c.p.(u_1,u_2,u_3) + [\beta(v_1),[v_2,v_3]_\Gamma]_\Gamma + c.p.(v_1,v_2,v_3) \\ = \ & 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $c.p.(a,b,c)$ means the cyclic permutations of a,b,c. □

Definition 2.6. *Let $(L,[\cdot,\cdot]_L,\alpha)$ and $(\Gamma,[\cdot,\cdot]_\Gamma,\beta)$ be two hom-Jordan-Lie algebras. A map $\phi: L\to\Gamma$ is said to be a morphism of hom-Jordan-Lie algebras if*

$$\phi[u,v]_L = [\phi(u),\phi(v)]_\Gamma, \qquad \forall u,v\in L, \tag{5}$$

$$\phi\circ\alpha = \beta\circ\phi. \tag{6}$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{G}_\phi \in L\oplus\Gamma$ is the graph of a linear map $\phi: L\to\Gamma$.

Proposition 2.7. *A map $\phi:(L,[\cdot,\cdot]_L,\alpha)\to(\Gamma,[\cdot,\cdot]_\Gamma,\beta)$ is a morphism of hom-Jordan-Lie algebras if and only if the graph $\mathfrak{G}_\phi\in L\oplus\Gamma$ is a hom subalgebra of $(L\oplus\Gamma,[\cdot,\cdot]_{L\oplus\Gamma},\alpha+\beta)$.*

Proof. Let $\phi:(L,[\cdot,\cdot]_L,\alpha)\to(\Gamma,[\cdot,\cdot]_\Gamma,\beta)$ be a morphism of hom-Jordan-Lie algebras, then for any $u,v\in L$, we have

$$[u+\phi(u),v+\phi(v)]_{L\oplus\Gamma} = [u,v]_L + [\phi(u),\phi(v)]_\Gamma = [u,v]_L + \phi[u,v]_L.$$

Thus the graph $\mathfrak{G}_\phi$ is closed under the bracket operation $[\cdot,\cdot]_{L\oplus\Gamma}$. Furthermore, by (9), we have

$$(\alpha+\beta)(u+\phi(u)) = \alpha(u)+\beta\circ\phi(u) = \alpha(u)+\phi\circ\alpha(u),$$

which implies that $(\alpha+\beta)(\mathfrak{G}_\phi)\subset\mathfrak{G}_\phi$. Thus $\mathfrak{G}_\phi$ is a hom subalgebra of $(L\oplus\Gamma,[\cdot,\cdot]_{L\oplus\Gamma},\alpha+\beta)$.

Conversely, if the graph $\mathfrak{G}_\phi\subset L\oplus\Gamma$ is a hom subalgebra of $(L\oplus\Gamma,[\cdot,\cdot]_{L\oplus\Gamma},\alpha+\beta)$, then we have

$$[u+\phi(u),v+\phi(v)]_{L\oplus\Gamma} = [u,v]_L + [\phi(u),\phi(v)]_\Gamma \in \mathfrak{G}_\phi,$$

which implies that

$$[\phi(u),\phi(v)]_\Gamma = \phi[u,v]_L.$$

Furthermore, $(\alpha+\beta)(\mathfrak{G}_\phi)\subset\mathfrak{G}_\phi$ yields that

$$(\alpha+\beta)(u+\phi(u)) = \alpha(u)+\beta\circ\phi(u)\in\mathfrak{G}_\phi,$$

which is equivalent to the condition $\beta\circ\phi(u)=\phi\circ\alpha(u)$, i.e. $\beta\circ\phi=\phi\circ\alpha$. Therefore, ϕ is a morphism of hom-Jordan-Lie algebras. □

3 Derivations of hom-Jordan-Lie algebras

Let $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ be a multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebra. For any nonnegative integer k, denote by α^k the k-times composition of α, i.e.

$$\alpha^k = \alpha \circ \cdots \circ \alpha \quad (k - times).$$

In particular, $\alpha^0 = \mathrm{id}$ and $\alpha^1 = \alpha$. If $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ is a regular hom-Jordan-Lie algebra, we denote by α^{-k} the k-times composition of α^1, the inverse of α.

Definition 3.1. *For any nonnegative integer k, a linear map $D : L \to L$ is called an α^k-derivation of the multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$, if*

$$[D, \alpha] = 0, \quad i.e. \quad D \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D, \tag{7}$$

and

$$D[u, v]_L = \delta^k([D(u), \alpha^k(v)]_L + [\alpha^k(u), D(v)]_L), \qquad \forall u, v \in L. \tag{8}$$

For a regular hom-Jordan-Lie algebra, α^{-k}-derivations can be defined similarly.

Denote by $\mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^s}(L)$ is the set of α^s-derivations of the multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$. For any $u \in L$ satisfying $\alpha(u) = u$, define $D_k(u) : L \to L$ by

$$D_k(u)(v) = \delta[u, \alpha^k(v)]_L,\ \delta^k = 1, \quad \forall v \in L.$$

Then $D_k(u)$ is an α^k-derivation.which we call an **inner** α^{k+1}-derivation. In fact, we have

$$D_k(u)(\alpha(v)) = \delta[u, \alpha^{k+1}(v)]_L = \alpha(\delta[u, \alpha^k(v)]_L) = \alpha \circ D_k(u)(v).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& D_k u([v, w]_L) = \delta[u, \alpha^k([v, w]_L)]_L = \delta[\alpha(u), [\alpha^k(v), \alpha^k(w)]_L]_L \\
= \ & -\delta([\alpha^{k+1}(v), [\alpha^k(w), u]_L]_L + [\alpha^{k+1}(w), [u, \alpha^k(v)]_L]_L) \\
= \ & -\delta[\alpha^{k+1}(v), [\alpha^k(w), u]_L]_L - \delta[\alpha^{k+1}(w), [u, \alpha^k(v)]_L]_L) \\
= \ & \delta^{k+1}[\alpha^{k+1}(v), \delta[u, \alpha^k(w)]_L]_L + \delta^{k+1}[\delta[u, \alpha^k(v)]_L), \alpha^{k+1}(w)]_L \\
= \ & \delta^{k+1}([D_k u(v), \alpha^{k+1}(w)]_L + [\alpha^{k+1}(v), D_k u(w)]_L).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $D_k(u)$ is an α^{k+1}-derivation. Denote by $\mathrm{Inn}_{\alpha^s}(L)$ the set of inner α^k-derivations, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Inn}_{\alpha^k}(L) = \{\delta[u, \alpha^{k-1}(\cdot)]_L | u \in L, \alpha(u) = u, \delta^k = 1\}. \tag{9}$$

For any $D \in \mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^k}(L)$ and $D' \in \mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^s}(L)$, define their commutator $[D, D']$ as usual:

$$[D, D'] = D \circ D' - D' \circ D. \tag{10}$$

Lemma 3.2. *For any $D \in \mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^k}(L)$ and $D' \in \mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^s}(L)$, we have*

$$[D, D'] \in \mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^{k+s}}(L).$$

Proof. For any $u, v \in L$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [D, D^{'}]([u,v]_L) = D \circ D^{'}([u,v]_L) - D^{'} \circ D([u,v]_L) \\
= \; & \delta^s D([D^{'}(u), \alpha^s(v)]_L + [\alpha^s(u), D^{'}(v)]_L) \\
& -\delta^k D^{'}([D(u), \alpha^k(v)]_L + [\alpha^k(u), D(v)]_L) \\
= \; & \delta^s D[D^{'}(u), \alpha^s(v)]_L + \delta^s D[\alpha^s(u), D^{'}(v)]_L \\
& -\delta^k D^{'}[D(u), \alpha^k(v)]_L - \delta^k D^{'}[\alpha^k(u), D(v)]_L \\
= \; & \delta^{k+s}([D \circ D^{'}(u), \alpha^{k+s}(v)]_L + [\alpha^k \circ D^{'}(u), D \circ \alpha^s(v)]_L \\
& +[D \circ \alpha^s(u), \alpha^k \circ D^{'}(v)]_L + [\alpha^{k+s} \circ D(u), D \circ D^{'}(v)]_L \\
& -[D^{'} \circ D(u), \alpha^{k+s}(v)]_L - [\alpha^s \circ D(u), D^{'} \circ \alpha^k(v)]_L \\
& -[D^{'} \circ \alpha^k(u), \alpha^s \circ D(v)]_L - [\alpha^{k+s}(u), D^{'} \circ D(v)]_L).
\end{aligned}$$

Since D and $D^{'}$ satisfy

$$D \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D, \qquad D^{'} \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D^{'},$$

we have

$$D \circ \alpha^s = \alpha^s \circ D, \qquad D^{'} \circ \alpha^k = \alpha^k \circ D^{'}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[D, D^{'}]([u,v]_L) &= \delta^{k+s}([D \circ D^{'}(u) - D^{'} \circ D(u), \alpha^{k+s}(v)]_L \\
&\quad +[\alpha^{k+s}(u), D \circ D^{'}(u) - D^{'} \circ D(v)]_L) \\
&= \delta^{k+s}([[D, D^{'}](u), \alpha^{k+s}(v)]_L + [\alpha^{k+s}(u), [D, D^{'}](v),]_L).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, it is straightforward to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
[D, D^{'}] \circ \alpha &= D \circ D^{'} \circ \alpha - D \circ D^{'} \circ \alpha \\
&= \alpha \circ D \circ D^{'} - \alpha \circ D \circ D^{'} \\
&= \alpha \circ [D, D^{'}],
\end{aligned}$$

which yields that $[D, D^{'}] \in \mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^{k+s}}(L)$. □

Denote by

$$\mathrm{Der}(L) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^k}(L). \tag{11}$$

By Lemma 3.2, obviously we have

Proposition 3.3. *With the above notations,* $\mathrm{Der}(L)$ *is a Lie algebra.*

Remark 3.4. *Similarly, we can obtain a Lie algebra* $\mathrm{Der}(L) = \bigoplus_k (\mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^k}(L)$*, where* k *is any integer, for a regular hom-Jordan-Lie algebra.*

At the end of this section, we consider the derivation extension of the multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ and give an application of the α-derivation $\mathrm{Der}_\alpha(L)$.

For any linear map $D : L \to L$, consider the vector space $L \oplus RD$. Define a bilinear bracket operation$[\cdot,\cdot]_L$ on $L \oplus RD$ by

$$[u + mD, v + nD]_D = [u, v]_L + mD(v) - \delta nD(u),$$

$$[u, v]_D = [u, v]_L, [D, u]_D = -\delta[u, D]_D = D(u), \qquad \forall u, v \in L.$$

Define a linear map $\alpha' : L \oplus RD \to L \oplus RD$ by $\alpha'(u + D) = \alpha(u) + D$, i.e.

$$\alpha' = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 3.5. *With the above notations, $(L \oplus RD, [\cdot,\cdot]_D, \alpha')$ is a multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebra if and only if D is an α-derivation of the multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$, with $(1 - \delta)D \circ D = 0$.*

Proof. First, $[\cdot,\cdot]_D$ satisfies the condition (3), for any $u, v, w \in L$, we have

$$[u + mD, v + nD]_D = [u, v]_L + mD(v) - \delta nD(u),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta[v + nD, u + mD]_D &= -\delta([v, u]_L + nD(u) - \delta mD(v)) \\ &= [u, v]_L + mD(v) - \delta nD(u) \\ &= [u + mD, v + nD]_D. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha'([u + mD, v + nD,]_D) = \alpha'([u, v]_L + mD(v) - \delta nD(u)) \\ = \ & \alpha[u, v]_L + m\alpha \circ D(v)) - \delta n\alpha \circ D(u), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [\alpha'(u + mD), \alpha'(v + nD)]_D = [\alpha(u) + mD, \alpha(v) + nD]_D \\ = \ & [\alpha(u), \alpha(v)]_L + mD \circ \alpha(v) - \delta nD \circ \alpha(u). \end{aligned}$$

Since α is an algebra morphism, α' is an algebra morphism if and only if

$$D \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D.$$

By a direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [\alpha'(D), [u, v]_D]_D + [\alpha'(u), [v, D]_D]_D + [\alpha'(v), [D, u]_D]_D \\ = \ & D[u, v]_D - \delta[\alpha(u), D(v)]_D + [\alpha(v), D(u)]_D \\ = \ & D[u, v]_D - \delta[\alpha(u), D(v)]_D - \delta[D(u), \alpha(v)]_D. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if the hom-Jordan-Jacobi identity is satisfied, then the following condition holds

$$D[u,v]_D - \delta[\alpha(u), D(v)]_D - \delta[D(u), \alpha(v)]_D = 0.$$

On the other hand, if D is an α-derivation of $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$, and $(1-\delta)D \circ D = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\alpha^{'}(u+mD), [v+nD, w+pD]_D]_D + c.p(u+mD, v+nD, w+pD) \\
= \; & [\alpha(u)+mD, [v,w]_L + nD(w) - \delta pD(v)]_D + c.p(u+mD, v+nD, w+pD) \\
= \; & [\alpha(u), [v,w]_L]_L + n[\alpha(u), D(w)]_L - \delta p[\alpha(u), D(v)]_L \\
+ \; & mD[v,w]_L + mnD \circ D(w) - \delta pmD \circ D(v) + c.p(u+mD, v+nD, w+pD) \\
= \; & (1-\delta)(npD \circ D(u) + pmD \circ D(v) + mnD \circ D(w)) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $(L \oplus RD, [\cdot,\cdot]_D, \alpha^{'})$ is a multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebra if and only if D is an α-derivation of $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$, with $(1-\delta)D \circ D = 0$. □

4 Representations of hom-Jordan-Lie algebras

In this section we study representations of multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebras and give corresponding operations. We also prove that one can form semidirect product multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebras when given representations of multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebras. Let $A \in pl(V)$ be an arbitrary linear transformation from V to V.

Definition 4.1. *A representation of multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ on the vector space V with respect to $A \in pl(V)$ is a linear map $\rho_A : L \to pl(V)$, such that for any $u, v \in L$, the basic property of this map is that:*

$$\rho_A(\alpha(u)) \circ A = A \circ \rho_A(u), \tag{12}$$
$$\rho_A([u,v]_L) \circ A = \rho_A(\alpha(u)) \circ \rho_A(v) - \delta\rho_A(\alpha(v)) \circ \rho_A(u). \tag{13}$$

The set of k-cochains on L with values in V, which we denote by $C^k(L;V)$, is the set of k-linear maps from $L \times \cdots \times L$ (k-times) to V:

$$C^k(L;V) \triangleq \{f : L \times \cdots \times L(k-times) \to V \text{ is a linear map}\}.$$

A k-hom-cochain on L with values in V is defined to be a k-cochain $f \in C^k(L;V)$ such that it is compatible with α and A in the sense that $A \circ f = f \circ \alpha$, i.e.

$$A(f(u, \cdots, u_{k+1})) = f(\alpha(u_1), \cdots, \alpha(u_{k+1})).$$

Denote by $C^k_{\alpha,A}(L;V)$ the set of k-hom-cochains:

$$C^k_{\alpha,A}(L,V) \triangleq \{f \in C^k(L,V) | A \circ f = f \circ \alpha\}.$$

Next we define, the linear map $d^k_{\rho_A} : C^k_{\alpha,A}(L,V) \to C^{k+1}_{\alpha,A}(L,V)(k=1,2)$ *as follows: we set*

$$d^1_{\rho_A} f(u_1,u_2) = \rho_A(\alpha(u_1))f(u_2) - \delta\rho_A(\alpha(u_2))f(u_1) - \delta f([u_1,u_2]_L),$$

$$\begin{aligned} d^2_{\rho_A} f(u_1,u_2,u_3) &= \rho_A(\alpha^2(u_1))f(u_2,u_3) - \delta\rho_A(\alpha^2(u_2))f(u_1,u_3) + \rho_A(\alpha^2(u_1))f(u_1,u_2) \\ &\quad -f([u_1,u_2]_L,\alpha(u_3)) + \delta f([u_1,u_3]_L,\alpha(u_2)) - \delta f([u_2,u_3]_L,\alpha(u_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.2. *With the above notations, for any* $f \in C^k_{\alpha,A}(L;V)$*, we have*

$$(d^k_{\rho_A} \circ f) \circ \alpha = A \circ d^k_{\rho_A} f.$$

Thus we obtain a well-defined map

$$d^k_{\rho_A} : C^k_{\alpha,A}(L;V) \to C^{k+1}_{\alpha,A}(L;V)$$

with k=1,2.

Proposition 4.3. *With the above notations, we have* $d^2_{\rho_A} \circ d^1_{\rho_A} = 0$.

Proof. By straightforward computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d^2_{\rho_A} \circ d^1_{\rho_A} f(u_1,u_2,u_3) \\ = & \sum_{i=1}^{3} (-\delta)^{i+1} \rho_A(\alpha^2(u_i)) d^1_{\rho_A} f(u_1,\cdots,\hat{u_i}\cdots,u_3) \\ + & \delta^3 \sum_{i<j} (-\delta)^{i+j} d^1_{\rho_A} f([u_i,u_j]_L,\alpha(u_1),\cdots,\hat{\alpha(u_i)},\cdots,\hat{\alpha(u_i)},\cdots,\alpha(u_3)) \\ = & \rho_A(\alpha^2(u_1)) d^1_{\rho_A} f(u_2,u_3) - \delta\rho_A(\alpha^2(u_2)) d^1_{\rho_A} f(u_1,u_3) \\ + & \rho_A(\alpha^2(u_3)) d^1_{\rho_A} f(u_1,u_2) - d^1_{\rho_A} f([u_1,u_2],\alpha(u_3)) \\ + & \delta d^1_{\rho_A} f([u_1,u_3],\alpha(u_2)) - d^1_{\rho_A} f([u_2,u_3],\alpha(u_1)) \\ = & \rho_A(\alpha^2(u_1))(\rho_A(\alpha(u_2))f(u_3) - \delta\rho_A(\alpha(u_3))f(u_2) - \delta f([u_2,u_3])) \\ - & \delta\rho_A(\alpha^2(u_2))(\rho_A(\alpha(u_1)f(u_3)) - \delta\rho_A(\alpha(u_3))f(u_1) - \delta f([u_1,u_3])) \\ + & \rho_A(\alpha^2(u_3))(\rho_A(\alpha(u_1)f(u_2)) - \delta\rho_A(\alpha(u_2))f(u_1) - \delta f([u_1,u_2])) \\ - & (\rho_A(\alpha([u_1,u_2]))f(\alpha(u_3)) - \delta\rho_A(\alpha^2(u_3))f([u_1,u_2]) - \delta f([[u_1,u_2],\alpha(u_3)])) \\ + & \delta(\rho_A(\alpha([u_1,u_3]))f(\alpha(u_2)) - \delta\rho_A(\alpha^2(u_2))f([u_1,u_3]) - \delta f([[u_1,u_3],\alpha(u_2)])) \\ - & (\rho_A(\alpha([u_2,u_3]))f(\alpha(u_1)) - \delta\rho_A(\alpha^2(u_1))f([u_2,u_3]) - \delta f([[u_2,u_3],\alpha(u_1)])) \\ & \rho_A(\alpha([u_2,u_3]f(\alpha(u_1)) = \rho_A(\alpha([u_2,u_3]))A \circ f(u_1) \\ = & \rho_A(\alpha^2(u_2))\rho_A(\alpha(u_3))f(u_1) - \delta\rho_A(\alpha^2(u_3))\rho_A(\alpha(u_2))f(u_1). \end{aligned}$$

Then $d^2_{\rho_A} \circ d^1_{\rho_A} f(u_1,u_2,u_3) = 0$. □

Associated to the representation ρ_A, we obtain the complex $(C^k_{\alpha,A}(L;V), d_{\rho_A})$. Denote the set of closed k-hom-cochains by $Z^k(L;\rho_A)$ and the set of exact k-hom-cochains by $B^k(L,\rho_A)$, $k=1,2$.

Proposition 4.4. *Given a representation ρ_A of the multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L,[\cdot,\cdot]_L,\alpha)$ on the vector space V with respect to $A \in pl(V)$. Define a bilinear bracket operation $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\rho_A} : (L\oplus V)\times(L\oplus V)\to L\oplus V$ by*

$$[u+X, v+Y]_{\rho_A} = [u,v]_L + \delta\rho_A(u)(Y) - \rho_A(v)(X). \tag{14}$$

Define $\alpha + A : L\oplus V \to L\oplus V$ by $(\alpha+A)(u+Y) = \alpha(u) + AX$.
Then $(L\oplus V, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\rho_A}, \alpha+A)$ is a multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebra, which we call the semidirect product of the multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L,[\cdot,\cdot]_L,\alpha)$ and V.

Proof. First we show that $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\rho_A}$ satisfies Jordan symmetry,

$$\begin{aligned} & -\delta[v+Y, u+X]_{\rho_A} \\ = \; & -\delta([v,u]_L + \delta\rho_A(v)X - \rho_A(u)Y) \\ = \; & [u,v]_L + \delta\rho_A(u)Y - \rho_A(v)X \\ = \; & [u+X, v+Y]_{\rho_A}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we show that $\alpha + A$ is an algebra morphism. On the one hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha+A)[u+X, v+Y]_{\rho_A} &= (\alpha+A)([u,v]_L + \delta\rho_A(u)(Y) - \rho_A(v)(X)) \\ &= \alpha([u,v]_L) + \delta A\circ\rho_A(u)(Y) - A\circ\rho_A(v)(X). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [(\alpha+A)(u+X), (\alpha+A)(v+Y)]_{\rho_A} \\ = \; & [\alpha(u)+AX, \alpha(v)+AY]_{\rho_A} \\ = \; & [\alpha(u),\alpha(v)]_L + \delta\rho_A(\alpha(u))(AY) - \rho_A(\alpha(v))(AX). \end{aligned}$$

Since α is an algebra morphism, ρ_A and A satisfy (15), it follows that $\alpha + A$ is an algebra morphism with respect to the bracket to $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\rho_A}$. It is not hard to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & [(\alpha+A)(u+X), [v+Y, w+Z]_{\rho_A}]_{\rho_A} + c.p.(u+X, v+Y, w+Z) \\ = \; & [\alpha(u), [v,w]_L]_L + c.p.(u,v,w) \\ & +\rho_A(\alpha(u))\circ\rho_A(v)(Z) - \delta\rho_A(\alpha(u))\circ\rho_A(w)(Y) - \rho_A([v,w])\circ(AX) \\ & +\rho_A(\alpha(v))\circ\rho_A(w)(X) - \delta\rho_A(\alpha(v))\circ\rho_A(u)(Z) - \rho_A([w,u])\circ(AY) \\ & +\rho_A(\alpha(w))\circ\rho_A(u)(Y) - \delta\rho_A(\alpha(w))\circ\rho_A(v)(X) - \rho_A([u,v])\circ(AZ), \\ & \rho_A([v,w])\circ(AX) = \rho_A(\alpha(v))\circ\rho_A(w)(X) - \delta\rho_A(\alpha(w))\circ\rho_A(v)(X). \end{aligned}$$

By (16), the hom-Jordan-Jacobi identity is satisfied. Thus, $(L\oplus V, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\rho_A}, \alpha+A)$ is a multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebra. □

5 The trivial representation of hom-Jordan-Lie algebras

In this section, we study the trivial representation of multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebras. As an application, we show that the central extension of a multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ is controlled by the second cohomology of L with coeffcients in the trivial representation.

Now let $V = R$, Then we have $gl(V) = R$. Any $A \in gl(V)$ is exactly a real number, which we use the notation r. Let $\rho : L \to gl(v) = R$ be the zero map. Obviously, ρ is a representation of the multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ with respect to any $r \in R$. We will always assume that $r = 1$. We call this representation the **trivial representation** of the multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$.

Associated to the trivial representation, the set of k-cochains on L, which we denote by $C^k(V)$, is the set of k-linear maps from $V \times \cdots \times V$ to R. The set of k-cochains is given by

$$C^k_{\alpha,A}(V) = \{f \in C^k(V) | f \circ \alpha = f\}.$$

The corresponding operator $d_T : C^k_{\alpha,A}(V) \to C^{k+1}_{\alpha,A}(V)(k = 1, 2)$ is given by

$$d^1_T f(u_1, u_2) = -\delta f([u_1, u_2]), \tag{15}$$

$$d^2_T f(u_1, u_2, u_3) = -f([u_1, u_2], \alpha(u_3)) + \delta f([u_1, u_3], \alpha(u_2)) - f([u_2, u_3], \alpha(u_1)). \tag{16}$$

Denote $Z^k_\alpha(V)$ and $B^k_\alpha(V)(k = 1, 2)$ similarly.

In the following we consider central extensions of the multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$. Obviously, $(R, 0, 1)$ is an abelian multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebra with the trivial bracket and the identity morphism. Let $\theta \in C^2_\alpha(V)$ and is a Jordan-symmetric($\theta(u, v) = -\delta\theta(v, u)$) map, we consider the direct sum $\eta = L \oplus R$ with the following bracket

$$[u + s, v + t]_\theta = [u, v]_L + \theta(u, v), \qquad \forall u, v \in L, s, t \in R. \tag{17}$$

Define $\alpha_\eta : \eta \to \eta$ by $\alpha_\eta(u + s) = \alpha(u) + s$, i.e.

$$\alpha_\eta \triangleq \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 5.1. *The triple $(\eta, [\cdot,\cdot]_\theta, \alpha_\eta)$ is a multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebra if and only if $\theta \in C^2_\alpha(V)$ satisfies*

$$d_T\theta = 0.$$

We call the multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(\eta, [\cdot,\cdot]_\theta, \alpha_\eta)$ the central extension of $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ by the abelian hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(R, 0, 1)$.

Proof. First we show that $[\cdot,\cdot]_\theta$ satisfies (3),

$$\begin{aligned} & -\delta[v+t,u+s]_\theta = -\delta([v,u]_L+\theta(v,u)) \\ = \;& [u,v]_L+\theta(u,v) = [u+s,v+t]_\theta. \end{aligned}$$

The fact that α_η is an algebra morphism with respect to the bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]_\theta$ follows from the fact that $\theta\circ\alpha=\theta$. More precisely, we have

$$\alpha_\eta[u+s,v+t]_\theta = \alpha[u,v]_L+\theta(u,v).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$[\alpha_\eta(u+s),\alpha_\eta(v+t)]_\theta = [\alpha(u)+s,\alpha(v)+t]_\theta = [\alpha(u),\alpha(v)]_L+\theta(\alpha(u),\alpha(v)).$$

Since α is an algebra morphism and $\theta(\alpha(u),\alpha(v))=\theta(u,v)$, we deduce that α_η is an algebra morphism.

By direct computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [\alpha_\eta(u+s),[v+t,w+m]_\theta]_\theta + c.p.(u+s,v+t,w+m) \\ = \;& [\alpha(u)+s,[v,w]_L+\theta(v,w)]_\theta + c.p.(u+s,v+t,w+m) \\ = \;& [\alpha(u),[v,w]_L]_L+\theta(\alpha(u),[v,w]_L) + c.p.(u,v,w). \end{aligned}$$

By the hom-Jacobi identity of L, $[\cdot,\cdot]_\theta$ satisfies the hom-Jacobi identity if and only if

$$\theta(\alpha(u),[v,w]_L)+\theta(\alpha(v),[w,u]_L)+\theta(\alpha(w),[u,v]_L)=0.$$

Which exactly means that $d_T\theta=0$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} & d_T\theta(u,v,w) \\ = \;& \delta^3(-\delta\theta([u,v]_L,\alpha(w))+\theta([u,w]_L,\alpha(v))-\delta\theta([v,w]_L,\alpha(u))) \\ = \;& -(\theta([u,v]_L,\alpha(w))+\theta([w,u]_L,\alpha(v))+\theta([v,w]_L,\alpha(u))) \\ = \;& \delta([\alpha_\eta(u+s),[v+t,w+m]_\theta]_\theta + c.p.) \\ = \;& 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then the triple $(\eta,[\cdot,\cdot]_\theta,\alpha_\eta)$ is a multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebra if and only if $\theta\in C^2_\alpha(V)$ satisfies $d_T\theta=0$. □

Proposition 5.2. *For $\theta_1,\theta_2\in Z^2(V)$, if $\delta(\theta_1-\theta_2)$ is exact, the corresponding two central extensions $(\eta,[\cdot,\cdot]_{\theta_1},\alpha_\eta)$ and $(\eta,[\cdot,\cdot]_{\theta_2},\alpha_\eta)$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Assume that $\theta_1-\theta_2=\delta d_T f$, $f\in C^1_\alpha(V)$. Thus we have

$$\theta_1(u,v)-\theta_2(u,v)=\delta d_T f(u,v) = -f([u,v]_T).$$

Define $f_\eta:\eta\to\eta$ by

$$f_\eta(u,s)=(u,s+f(u)).$$

If $(u, s + f(u)) = 0$, then $u = 0$, $s = 0$. Thus $f_\eta(0, 0) = 0$, so f_η is injective. If (u, v) is the image, then $f(u)$ is certain element. so $s = v - f(u)$ is uniquely determined, Thus arbitrary items have the original item. so f_η is isomorphic. It is straightforward to see that $f_\eta \circ \alpha_\eta = \alpha_\eta \circ f_\eta$. Obviously, f_η is an isomorphism of vector spaces. we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & f_\eta[(u, s), (v, t)]_{\theta_1} = f_\eta([u, v]_L, \theta_1(u, v)) \\ = \; & ([u, v]_L, \theta_1(u, v) + f([u, v]_L)) = ([u, v]_L, \theta_2(u, v)) \\ = \; & [f_\eta(u, s), f_\eta(v, t)]_{\theta_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, f_η is also an isomorphism of multiplicative hom-Jordan-Lie algebras. □

6 The adjniont representation of hom-Jordan-Lie algebras

Let $(L, [\cdot, \cdot]_L, \alpha)$ be a regular hom-Jordan-Lie algebra. We consider that L represents on itself via the bracket with respect to the morphism α. A very interesting phenomenon is that the adjoint representation of a hom-Jordan-Lie algebra is not unique as one will see in sequel.

Definition 6.1. *For any integer s, the α^s-adjoint representation of the regular hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot, \cdot]_L, \alpha)$, which we denote by ad_s, is defined by*

$$\mathrm{ad}_s(u)(v) = \delta[\alpha^s(u), v]_L, \qquad \forall u, v \in L.$$

Lemma 6.2. *With the above notations, we have*

$$\mathrm{ad}_s(\alpha(u)) \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ \mathrm{ad}_s(u);$$

$$\mathrm{ad}_s([u, v]_L) \circ \alpha = \mathrm{ad}_s(\alpha(u)) \circ \mathrm{ad}_s(v) - \delta \mathrm{ad}_s(\alpha(v)) \circ \mathrm{ad}_s(u).$$

Thus the definition of α^s-adjoint representation is well defined.

Proof. The conclusion follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ad}_s(\alpha(u))(\alpha(v)) &= \delta[\alpha^{s+1}(u), \alpha(v)]_L \\ &= \alpha(\delta[\alpha^s(u), v]_L) = \alpha \circ \mathrm{ad}_s(u)(v) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ad}_s([u, v]_L)(\alpha(w)) &= \delta[\alpha^s[u, v]_L, \alpha(w)]_L \\ &= \delta[[\alpha^s(u), \alpha^s(v)]_L, \alpha(w)]_L \\ &= -[\alpha(w), [\alpha^s(u), \alpha^s(v)]_L]_L \\ &= [\alpha^{s+1}(u), [\alpha^s(v), w]_L]_L + [\alpha^{s+1}(v), [w, \alpha^s(u)]_L]_L \\ &= [\alpha^{s+1}(u), [\alpha^s(v), w]_L]_L - \delta[\alpha^{s+1}(v), [\alpha^s(u), w]_L]_L \\ &= \mathrm{ad}_s(\alpha(u))(\mathrm{ad}_s(v)(w)) - \delta \mathrm{ad}_s(\alpha(v))(\mathrm{ad}_s(u)(w)). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

The set of k-hom-cochains on L with coefficients in L, which we denote by $C^k_\alpha(L;L)$ is given by

$$C^k_\alpha(L;L) = \{f \in C^k(L;L) | \alpha \circ f = f \circ \alpha\}.$$

In particular, the set of 0-hom-cochains are given by:

$$C^0_\alpha(L;L) = \{u \in L | \alpha(u) = u\}.$$

Associated to the α^s-adjoint representation, the corresponding operator

$$d_s : C^k_\alpha(L;L) \to C^{k+1}_\alpha(L;L)(k = 1, 2)$$

is given by

$$d_s f(u_1, u_2) = \delta[\alpha^{1+s}(u_1), f(u_2)] - [\alpha^{1+s}(u_2), f(u_1)] - \delta f([u_1, u_2]); \tag{18}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_s f(u_1, u_2, u_3) &= \delta[\alpha^{2+s}(u_1), f(u_2, u_3)] - [\alpha^{2+s}(u_2), f(u_1, u_3)] + \delta[\alpha^{2+s}(u_3), f(u_1, u_2)] \\ &\quad -f([u_1, u_2], \alpha(u_3)) + \delta f([u_1, u_3], \alpha(u_2)) - f([u_2, u_3], \alpha(u_1)). \end{aligned}$$

For the α^s-adjoint representation ad_s, we obtain the α^s-adjoint complex $(C_\alpha(L;L), d_s)$.

In the case of hom-Lie algebras, a 1-cocycle associated to the adjoint representation is a derivation. Similarly, we have

Proposition 6.3. *Associated to the α^s-adjoint representations ad_s of the regular hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$, It satisfies $\delta^{s+1} = 1$, $D \in \alpha^1(L, L)$ is a 1-cocycle if and only if D is an α^{s+1}-derivation. i.e. $D \in \mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^{s+1}}(\mathrm{L})$.*

Proof. The conclusion follows directly from the definition of the operator d_s. D is closed if and only if

$$d_s(D)(u, v) = \delta[\alpha^{s+1}(u), D(v)]_L - [\alpha^{s+1}(v), D(u)]_L - \delta D[u, v]_L = 0,$$

in the other word,

$$\begin{aligned} D[u, v]_L &= -\delta[\alpha^{s+1}(v), D(u)]_L + [\alpha^{s+1}(u), D(v)]_L \\ &= \delta^{s+1}([D(u), \alpha^{s+1}(v)]_L + [\alpha^{s+1}(u), D(v)]_L), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that D is an α^{s+1}-derivation. □

Let $\psi \in C^2_\alpha(L;L)$ be a bilinear operator commuting with α, also $\psi(u, v) = -\delta\psi(v, u)$. Consider a t-parametrized family of bilinear operations

$$[u, v]_t = [u, v]_L + t\psi(u, v). \tag{19}$$

Since ψ commutes with α, α is a morphism with respect to the bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]_t$ for every t. If all the brackets $[\cdot,\cdot]_t$ endow $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_t, \alpha)$ regular hom-Jordan-Lie algebra structures, we

say that ψ generates a deformation of the regular hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$. By computing the hom-Jordan-Jacobi identity of $[\cdot,\cdot]_t$

$$\begin{aligned} & [\alpha(u), [v,w]_t]_t + c.p.(u,v,w) \\ = \; & [\alpha(u), [v,w]_L]_t + [\alpha(u), t\psi(v,w)]_t + c.p.(u,v,w) \\ = \; & [\alpha(u), [v,w]_L]_L + t\psi(\alpha(u), [v,w]_L) \\ & + [\alpha(u), t\psi(v,w)]_L + t\psi(\alpha(u), t\psi(v,w)) + c.p.(u,v,w) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

this is equivalent to the conditions

$$\psi(\alpha(u), \psi(v,w)) + c.p.(u,v,w) = 0; \tag{20}$$

$$(\psi(\alpha(u), [v,w]_L) + [\alpha(u), \psi(v,w)_L]_L) + c.p.(u,v,w) = 0. \tag{21}$$

Obviously, (23) means that ψ must itself define a hom-Jordan-Lie algebra structure on L. Furthermore, (24) means that ψ is closed with respect to the α^{-1}-adjoint representation ad_{-1}, i.e. $d_{-1}\psi = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{-1}\psi(u,v,w) \\ = \; & \delta[\alpha(u), \psi(v,w)]_L - [\alpha(v), \psi(u,w)]_L + \delta[\alpha(w), \psi(u,v)]_L \\ & -\psi([u,v]_L, \alpha(w)) + \delta\psi([u,w]_L, \alpha(v)) - \psi([v,w]_L, \alpha(u)) \\ = \; & \delta[\alpha(u), \psi(v,w)]_L + \delta[\alpha(v), \psi(w,u)]_L + \delta[\alpha(w), \psi(u,v)]_L \\ & + \delta\psi(\alpha(w), [u,v]_L) + \delta\psi(\alpha(v), [w,u]_L) + \delta\psi(\alpha(u), [v,w]_L) \\ = \; & \delta([\alpha(u), \psi(v,w)]_L + \psi(\alpha(u), [v,w]_L) + c.p.(u,v,w)) \\ = \; & 0. \end{aligned}$$

A deformation is said to be trivial if there is a linear operator $N \in C^1_\alpha(L;L)$ such that for $T_t = \mathrm{id} + tN$, there holds

$$T_t[u,v]_t = [T_t(u), T_t(v)]_L. \tag{22}$$

Definition 6.4. *A linear operator $N \in C^1_\alpha(L,L)$ is called a hom-Nijienhuis operator if we have*

$$[Nu, Nv]_L = N[u,v]_N, \tag{23}$$

where the bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]_N$ is defined by

$$[u,v]_N \triangleq [Nu, v]_L + [u, Nv]_L - N[u,v]_L. \tag{24}$$

Theorem 6.5. *Let $N \in C^1_\alpha(L,L)$ be a hom-Nijienhuis operator. Then a deformation of the regular hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ can be obtained by putting*

$$\psi(u,v) = d_{-1}N(u,v) = [u,v]_N.$$

Furthermore, this deformation is trivial.

Proof. Since $\psi = d_{-1}N$, $d_{-1}\psi = 0$ is valid. To see that ψ generates a deformation, we need to check the hom-Jordan Jacobi identity for ψ. Using the explicit expression of ψ, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi(\alpha(u), \psi(v,w)) + c.p.(u,v,w) \\
= \; & [\alpha(u), [v,w]_N]_N + c.p.(u,v,w) \\
= \; & ([\alpha(u), [Nv,w]_L + [v,Nw]_L - N[v,w]_L]_N) + c.p.(u,v,w) \\
= \; & ([\alpha(u), [Nv,w]_L]_N + [\alpha(u), [v,Nw]_L]_N - [\alpha(u), N[v,w]_L]_N) + c.p.(u,v,w) \\
= \; & ([N\alpha(u), [Nv,w]_L]_L + [\alpha(u), N[Nv,w]_L]_L - N[\alpha(u), [Nv,w]_L]_L \\
& + [N\alpha(u), [v,Nw]_L]_L + [\alpha(u), N[v,Nw]_L]_L - N[\alpha(u), [v,Nw]_L]_L \\
& - [N\alpha(u), N[v,w]_L]_L - [\alpha(u), N^2[v,w]_L]_L + N[\alpha(u), N[v,w]_L]_L) + c.p.(u,v,w).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\alpha(u), N[Nv,w]_L]_L + [\alpha(u), N[v,Nw]_L]_L - [\alpha(u), N^2[v,w]_L]_L \\
= \; & [\alpha(u), N([Nv,w]_L + [v,Nw]_L - N[v,w]_L)]_L \\
= \; & [\alpha(u), N[v,w]_N]_L,
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi(\alpha(u), \psi(v,w)) + c.p.(u,v,w) \\
= \; & ([N\alpha(u), [Nv,w]_L]_L - N[\alpha(u), [Nv,w]_L]_L + [N\alpha(u), [v,Nw]_L]_L \\
& - N[\alpha(u), [v,Nw]_L]_L - [N\alpha(u), N[v,w]_L]_L + N[\alpha(u), N[v,w]_L]_L + [\alpha(u), N[v,w]_N]_L) \\
& + ([N\alpha(v), [Nw,u]_L]_L - N[\alpha(v), [Nw,u]_L]_L + [N\alpha(v), [w,Nu]_L]_L \\
& - N[\alpha(v), [w,Nu]_L]_L - [N\alpha(v), N[w,u]_L]_L + N[\alpha(v), N[w,u]_L]_L + [\alpha(v), N[w,u]_N]_L) \\
& + ([N\alpha(w), [Nu,v]_L]_L - N[\alpha(w), [Nu,v]_L]_L + [N\alpha(w), [u,Nv]_L]_L \\
& - N[\alpha(w), [u,Nv]_L]_L - [N\alpha(w), N[u,v]_L]_L + N[\alpha(w), N[u,v]_L]_L + [\alpha(w), N[u,v]_N]_L) \\
= \; & [N\alpha(u), [Nv,w]_L]_L + [N\alpha(v), [w,Nu]_L]_L \\
& + [\alpha(w), N([u,v]_N)]_L + c.p.(u,v,w) \\
& + (N[\alpha(v), N[w,u]_L]_L - [N\alpha(v), N[w,u]_L]_L) + c.p.(u,v,w) \\
& - N[\alpha(u), [Nv,w]_L]_L - N[\alpha(w), [u,Nv]_L]_L + c.p.(u,v,w).
\end{aligned}$$

Since N commutes with α, by the hom-Jordan Jacobi identity of L, we have

$$[N\alpha(u), [Nv,w]_L]_L + [\alpha(Nv), [w,Nu]_L]_L + [\alpha(w), [Nu,Nv]_L]_L = 0.$$

Since N is a hom-Nijienhuis operator, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [N\alpha(u), [Nv,w]_L]_L + [\alpha(Nv), [w,Nu]_L]_L + [\alpha(w), N[u,v]_N]_L \\
& + c.p.(u,v,w) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, also by the fact that N is a hom-Nijienhuis operator, we obtain

$$N[\alpha(v), N[w,u]_L]_L - [N\alpha(v), N[w,u]_L]_L + c.p.(u,v,w)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= N[\alpha(v), N[w,u]_L]_L - N[\alpha(v), [w,u]_L]_N + c.p.(u,v,w) \\
&= -N[N\alpha(v), [w,u]_L]_L + N^2[\alpha(v), [w,u]_L]_L) + c.p.(u,v,w).
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus by the hom-Jordan Jacobi identity of L, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N[\alpha(v), N[w,u]_L]_L - [N\alpha(v), N[w,u]_L]_L) + c.p.(u,v,w) \\
= \; & -N[N\alpha(v), [w,u]_L]_L + c.p.(u,v,w).
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi(\alpha(u), \psi(v,w)) + c.p.(u,v,w) \\
= \; & -N[N\alpha(v), [w,u]_L]_L - N[\alpha(u), [Nv,w]_L]_L \\
& -N[\alpha(w), [u,Nv]_L]_L + c.p.(u,v,w) \\
= \; & -N([\alpha(Nv), [w,u]_L]_L + [\alpha(u), [Nv,w]_L]_L \\
& +[\alpha(w), [u,Nv]_L]_L) + c.p.(u,v,w) \\
= \; & 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus ψ generates a deformation of the hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$.

Let $T_t = \mathrm{id} + tN$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_t[u,v]_t &= (\mathrm{id} + tN)([u,v]_L + t\psi(u,v)) \\
&= (\mathrm{id} + tN)([u,v]_L + t[u,v]_N) \\
&= [u,v]_L + t([u,v]_N + N[u,v]_L) + t^2 N[u,v]_N.
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
[T_t(u), T_t(v)]_L &= [u + tNu, v + tNv]_L \\
&= [u,v]_L + t([Nu,v]_L + [u,Nv]_L) + t^2[Nu,Nv]_L.
\end{aligned}
$$

By(26), (27), we have

$$T_t[u,v]_t = [T_t(u), T_t(v)]_L,$$

which implies that the deformation is trivial. □

7 T^*-extensions of hom-Jordan-Lie algebras

The method of the T^*-extension was introduced in [6, 7] and the T^*-extension of an algebra is quadratic. The theory of quadratic (color) hom-Lie algebras is referred to [1].

Definition 7.1. *Let $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ be a hom-Jordan-Lie algebra. A bilinear form f on L is said to be nondegenerate if*

$$L^{\perp} = \{x \in L | f(x,y) = 0, \forall y \in L\} = 0;$$

invariant if

$$f([x,y],z) = f(x,[y,z]), \forall x,y,z \in L;$$

Jordansymmetric if

$$f(x,y) = f(y,x).$$

A subspace I of L is called isotropic if $I \subseteq I^{\perp}$.

Definition 7.2. *A bilinear form f on a hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ is said to be Jordan consistent if f satisfies*

$$f(x,y) = 0, \forall x,y \in L, |x| \neq |y|.$$

Throughout this section, we only consider Jordan consistent bilinear forms.

Definition 7.3. *Let $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ be a hom-Jordan-Lie algebra over a field $\mathbf{K}$. If L admits a nondegenerate invariant Jordansymmetric bilinear form f, then we call (L, f, α) a quadratic hom-Jordan-Lie algebra. In particular, a quadratic vector space V is a vector space admitting a nondegenerate Jordansymmetric bilinear form.*

Let $(L^{'}, [\cdot,\cdot]'_L, \beta)$ be another hom-Jordan-Lie algebra. Two quadratic hom-Jordan-Lie algebras (L, f, α) and $(L^{'}, f', \beta)$ are said to be isometric if there exists a hom-Jordan-Lie algebra isomorphism $\phi : L \to L^{'}$ such that $f(x,y) = f'(\phi(x), \phi(y)), \forall x,y \in L$.

Lemma 7.4. *Let* ad *be the adjoint representation of a hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$, and let us consider the linear map $\pi : L \to \mathrm{End}(L^*)$ (L^* is the dual space of L) defined by, $\pi(x)(f)(y) = -\delta f \circ \mathrm{ad}(x)(y), \forall x,y \in L$. Then π is a representation of L on $(L^*, \tilde{\alpha})$ if and only if*

$$\alpha \circ \mathrm{ad}\alpha(x) = \mathrm{ad}x \circ \alpha; \tag{25}$$

$$\mathrm{ad}x \circ \mathrm{ad}\alpha(y) - \delta \mathrm{ad}y \circ \mathrm{ad}\alpha(x) = \alpha \circ \mathrm{ad}[x,y]_L. \tag{26}$$

We call the representation π the coadjoint representation of L, with $\tilde{\alpha}(f) = f \circ \alpha$.

Proof. Firstly, we have

$$(\pi(\alpha(x)) \circ \tilde{\alpha})(f) = -\delta \tilde{\alpha}(f) \circ \mathrm{ad}\alpha(x) = -\delta f \circ \alpha \circ \mathrm{ad}\alpha(x),$$

and

$$\tilde{\alpha}(\pi(x))(f) = -\delta \tilde{\alpha}(f \circ \mathrm{ad}x) = -\delta f \circ \mathrm{ad}x \circ \alpha.$$

Finally,

$$(\pi([x,y]) \circ \tilde{\alpha})(f) = -\delta f \circ \alpha \circ \mathrm{ad}[x,y];$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\pi(\alpha(x)) \circ \pi(y) - \delta \pi(\alpha(y)) \circ \pi(x))(f) \\ = \; & -\delta \pi(\alpha(x))(f \circ ady) + \pi(\alpha(y))(f \circ adx) \\ = \; & f \circ ady \circ \mathrm{ad}\alpha(x) - \delta f \circ adx \circ \mathrm{ad}\alpha(y) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \quad -\delta f \circ (\mathrm{ad}x \circ \mathrm{ad}\alpha(y) - \delta \mathrm{ad}y \circ \mathrm{ad}\alpha(x)).$$

Then we have

$$\pi(\alpha(x)) \circ \tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\alpha}(\pi(x));$$
$$\pi([x,y]) \circ \tilde{\alpha} = \pi(\alpha(x)) \circ \pi(y) - \delta\pi(\alpha(y)) \circ \pi(x).$$

Then π is a representation of L on $(L^*, \tilde{\alpha})$. □

Lemma 7.5. *Under the above notations, let $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ be a hom-Jordan-Lie algebra, and $\omega : L \times L \to L^*$ be an bilinear map. Assume that the coadjoint representation exists. The space $L \oplus L^*$, provided with the following bracket and a linear map defined respectively by*

$$[x+f, y+g]_{L\oplus L^*} = [x,y]_L + \omega(x,y) + \delta\pi(x)g - \pi(y)f; \tag{27}$$
$$\alpha^{'}(x+f) = \alpha(x) + f \circ \alpha. \tag{28}$$

Then $(L \oplus L^*, [\cdot,\cdot]_{L\oplus L^*}, \alpha^{'})$ is a hom-Jordan-Lie algebra if and only if ω is a 2-cocycle: $L \times L \to L^*$, i.e. $\omega \in Z^2(L, L^*)$.

Proof. For any elements $x+f, y+g, z+h \in L \oplus L^*$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\alpha^{'}(x+f), [y+g, z+h]_{L\oplus L^*}]_{L\oplus L^*} + c.p.(x+f, y+g, z+h) \\
= \quad & [\alpha(x), [y,z]_L]_L + c.p.(x,y,z) \\
& +\omega(\alpha(x), [y,z]_L) + \delta\pi(\alpha(x))\omega(y,z) + c.p(x,y,z) \\
& +\pi(\alpha(x))(\pi(y)h) - \delta\pi(\alpha(x))(\pi(z)g) \\
& -\pi([y,z]_L)f \circ \alpha + c.p.(x+f, y+g, z+h).
\end{aligned}$$

By the hom-Jordan Jacobi identity

$$[\alpha(x), [y,z]_L]_L + c.p.(x,y,z) = 0.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pi(\alpha(x))(\pi(y)h) - \delta\pi(\alpha(x))(\pi(z)g) \\
& -\pi([y,z]_L)f \circ \alpha + c.p. \\
= \quad & \pi(\alpha(y))(\pi(z)f) - \delta\pi(\alpha(z))(\pi(y)f) - \pi([y,z]_L)f \circ \alpha \\
& +\pi(\alpha(z))(\pi(x)g) - \delta\pi(\alpha(x))(\pi(z)g) - \pi([z,x]_L)g \circ \alpha \\
& +\pi(\alpha(x))(\pi(y)h) - \delta\pi(\alpha(y))(\pi(x)h) - \pi([x,y]_L)h \circ \alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Since π is the coadjoint representation of L, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pi([x,y]_L)h \circ \alpha \\
= \quad & -\delta h(\alpha \circ \mathrm{ad}([x,y]_L)) \\
= \quad & -\delta h \circ \mathrm{ad}(x)\mathrm{ad}(\alpha(y)) + h \circ \mathrm{ad}(y)\mathrm{ad}(\alpha(x)) \\
= \quad & (\pi(x)h)(\mathrm{ad}(\alpha(y))) - \delta(\pi(y)h)(\mathrm{ad}(\alpha(x)))
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \quad -\delta\pi(\alpha(y))(\pi(x)h) + \pi(\alpha(x))(\pi(y)h).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\pi(\alpha(x))\pi(y)h - \delta\pi(\alpha(y))\pi(x)h - \pi([x,y]_L)h \circ \alpha = 0.$$

Obviously,

$$\pi(\alpha(y))(\pi(z)f) - \delta\pi(\alpha(x))(\pi(z)g) - \pi([y,z]_L)f \circ \alpha + c.p. = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$[\alpha^{'}(x+f), [y+g, z+h]_\Omega]_\Omega + c.p.(x+f, y+g, z+h) = 0,$$

if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta\pi(\alpha(x))(\omega(y,z)) - \pi(\alpha(y))(\omega(x,z)) + \delta\pi(\alpha(z))(\omega(x,y)) \\ &\quad +\omega(\alpha(x), [y,z]_L) + \omega([x,z]_L, \alpha(y)) - \delta\omega([x,y]_L, \alpha(z)) \\ &= [\alpha(x), \omega(y,z)] - \delta[\alpha(y), \omega(x,z)] + [\alpha(z), \omega(x,y)] \\ &\quad -\delta\omega([y,z]_L, \alpha(x)) + \omega([x,z]_L, \alpha(y)) - \delta\omega([x,y]_L, \alpha(z)) \\ &= \delta d_{-1}\omega(x,y,z). \end{aligned}$$

That is $\omega \in Z^2(L, L^*)$. Then confirmation holds if and only if $\omega \in Z^2(L, L^*)$. Consequently, we prove the lemma. □

Clearly, L^* is an abelian hom-ideal of $(L \oplus L^*, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\alpha'}, \alpha^{'})$ and L is isomorphic to the factor hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L \oplus L^*)/L^*$. Moreover, consider the following Jordansymmetric bilinear form q_L on $L \oplus L^*$ for all $x+f, y+g \in L \oplus L^*$,

$$q_L(x+f, y+g) = f(y) + g(x).$$

Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 7.6. *Let L, L^*, ω and q_L be as above. Then the triple $(L \oplus L^*, q_L, \alpha^{'})$ is a quadratic hom-Jordan-Lie algebra if and only if ω is Jordancyclic in the following sense:*

$$w(x,y)(z) = w(y,z)(x) \quad \textit{for all } x, y, z \in L.$$

Proof. If $x+f$ is orthogonal to all elements of $L \oplus L^*$, then $f(y) = 0$ and $g(x) = 0$, which implies that $x = 0$ and $f = 0$. So the Jordansymmetric bilinear form q_L is nondegenerate.

Now suppose that $x+f, y+g, z+h \in L \oplus L^*$, then

$$\begin{aligned} q_L([x+f, y+g]_{L\oplus L^*}, z+h) &= q_L([x,y]_L + \omega(x,y) + \delta\pi(x)g - \pi(y)f, z+h) \\ &= \omega(x,y)(z) + \delta(\pi(x)g)(z) - \pi(y)(f)(z) + h([x,y]_L) \\ &= \omega(x,y)(z) - \delta g([x,z]_L) + f([y,z]_L) + h([x,y]_L). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} q_L(x+f,[y+g,z+h]_{L\oplus L^*}) &= q_L(x+f,[y,z]_L+\omega(y,z)+\delta\pi(y)h-\pi(z)g) \\ &= f([y,z]_L)+\omega(y,z)(x)+\delta\pi(y)(h)(x)-(\pi(z)g)(x) \\ &= f([y,z]_L)+\omega(y,z)(x)+h([x,y]_L)-\delta g([x,z]_L). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the lemma follows. □

Now, for a Jordancyclic 2-cocycle ω we shall call the quadratic hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L\oplus L^*, q_L, \alpha')$ the T^*-extension of L (by ω) and denote the hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $(L\oplus L^*, [\cdot,\cdot]_{L\oplus L^*}, \alpha')$ by $T^*_\omega L$.

Definition 7.7. *Let L be a hom-Jordan-Lie algebra over a field $\mathbf{K}$. We inductively define a derived series*

$$(L^{(n)})_{n\geq 0} : L^{(0)} = L,\ L^{(n+1)} = [L^{(n)}, L^{(n)}],$$

a central descending series

$$(L^n)_{n\geq 0} : L^0 = L,\ L^{n+1} = [L^n, L],$$

and a central ascending series

$$(C_n(L))_{n\geq 0} : C_0(L) = 0, C_{n+1}(L) = C(C_n(L)),$$

where $C(I) = \{a \in L | [a, L] \subseteq I\}$ for a subspace I of L.

L is called solvable and nilpotent(of length k) if and only if there is a (smallest) integer k such that $L^{(k)} = 0$ and $L^k = 0$, respectively.

In the following theorem we discuss some properties of $T^*_\omega L$.

Theorem 7.8. *Let $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ be a hom-Jordan-Lie algebra over a field $\mathbf{K}$.*

(1) If L is solvable (nilpotent) of length k, then the T^*-extension $T^*_\omega L$ is solvable (nilpotent) of length r, where $k \leq r \leq k+1$ ($k \leq r \leq 2k-1$).

(2) If L is decomposed into a direct sum of two hom-ideals of L, so is the trivial T^*-extension $T^*_0 L$.

Proof. (1) Firstly we suppose that L is solvable of length k. Since $(T^*_\omega L)^{(n)}/L^* \cong L^{(n)}$ and $L^{(k)} = 0$, we have $(T^*_\omega L)^{(k)} \subseteq L^*$, which implies $(T^*_\omega L)^{(k+1)} = 0$ because L^* is abelian, and it follows that $T^*_\omega L$ is solvable of length k or $k+1$.

Suppose now that L is nilpotent of length k. Since $(T^*_\omega L)^n/L^* \cong L^n$ and $L^k = 0$, we have $(T^*_\omega L)^k \subseteq L^*$. Let $\beta \in (T^*_\omega L)^k \subseteq L^*, b \in L$, $x_1+f_1, \cdots, x_{k-1}+f_{k-1} \in T^*_\omega L$, $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, we have

$$[[\cdots[\beta, x_1+f_1]_{L\oplus L^*}, \cdots]_{L\oplus L^*}, x_{k-1}+f_{k-1}]_{L\oplus L^*}(b)$$

$$= \delta^{k-1}\beta \mathrm{ad}x_1 \cdots \mathrm{ad}x_{k-1}(b) = \beta([x_1, [\cdots, [x_{k-1}, b]_L \cdots]_L]_L) \in \beta(L^k) = 0.$$

This proves that $(T^*_\omega L)^{2k-1} = 0$. Hence $T^*_w L$ is nilpotent of length at least k and at most $2k-1$.

(2) Suppose that $0 \neq L = I \oplus J$, where I and J are two nonzero hom-ideals of $(L[\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$. Let I^* (resp. J^*) denote the subspace of all linear forms in L^* vanishing on J (resp. I). Clearly, I^* (resp. J^*) can canonically be identified with the dual space of I (resp. J) and $L^* \cong I^* \oplus J^*$.

Since $[I^*, L]_{L\oplus L^*}(J) = I^*([L, J]_L) \subseteq I^*(J) = 0$ and $[I, L^*]_{L\oplus L^*}(J) = L^*([I, J]_L) \subseteq L^*(I \cap J) = 0$, we have $[I^*, L]_{L\oplus L^*} \subseteq I^*$ and $[I, L^*]_{L\oplus L^*} \subseteq I^*$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}[T^*_0 I, T^*_0 L]_{L\oplus L^*} &= [I \oplus I^*, L \oplus L^*]_{L\oplus L^*} \\ &= [I, L]_L + [I, L^*]_{L\oplus L^*} + [I^*, L]_{L\oplus L^*} + [I^*, L^*]_{L\oplus L^*} \subseteq I \oplus I^* = T^*_0 I.\end{aligned}$$

$T^*_0 I$ is a hom-ideal of L and so is $T^*_0 J$ in the same way. Hence $T^*_0 L$ can be decomposed into the direct sum $T^*_0 I \oplus T^*_0 J$ of two nonzero hom-ideals of $T^*_0 L$. □

In the proof of a criterion for recognizing T^*-extensions of a hom-Jordan-Lie algebra, we will need the following result.

Lemma 7.9. *Let (L, q_L, α) be a quadratic hom-Jordan-Lie algebra of even dimension n over a field $\mathbf{K}$ and I be an isotropic $n/2$-dimensional subspace of L. If I is a hom-ideal of $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$, then I is abelian.*

Proof. Since $\dim I + \dim I^\perp = n/2 + \dim I^\perp = n$ and $I \subseteq I^\perp$, we have $I = I^\perp$. If I is a ideal of $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$, then $q_L(L, [I, I^\perp]) = q_L([L, I], I^\perp) \subseteq q_L(I, I^\perp) = 0$, which implies $[I, I] = [I, I^\perp] \subseteq L^\perp = 0$. □

Theorem 7.10. *Let (L, q_L, α) be a quadratic hom-Jordan-Lie algebra, of even dimension n over a field $\mathbf{K}$ of characteristic not equal to two. Then (L, q_L, α) is isometric to a T^*-extension $(T^*_\omega B, q_B, \beta')$ if and only if n is even and $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ contains an isotropic hom-ideal I of dimension $n/2$. In particular, $B \cong L/I$.*

Proof. ($\Longrightarrow$) Since $\dim B = \dim B^*$, $\dim T^*_\omega B$ is even. Moreover, it is clear that B^* is a hom-ideal of half the dimension of $T^*_\omega B$ and by the definition of q_B, we have $q_B(B^*, B^*) = 0$, i.e., $B^* \subseteq (B^*)^\perp$ and so B^* is isotropic.

($\Longleftarrow$) Suppose that I is an $n/2$-dimensional isotropic hom-ideal of L. By Lemma 7.9, I is abelian. Let $B = L/I$ and $p : L \to B$ be the canonical projection. Clearly, $|p(x)| = |x|, \forall x \in L$. Since $\mathrm{ch}\mathbf{K} \neq 2$, we can choose an isotropic complement subspace B_0 to I in L, i.e., $L = B_0 \dotplus I$ and $B_0 \subseteq B_0^\perp$. Then $B_0^\perp = B_0$ since $\dim B_0 = n/2$.

Denote by p_0 (resp. p_1) the projection $L \to B_0$ (resp. $L \to I$) and let q^*_L denote the homogeneous linear map $I \to B^* : i \mapsto q^*_L(i)$, where $q^*_L(i)(p(x)) := q_L(i, x), \forall x \in L$. We claim that q^*_L is a linear isomorphism. In fact, if $p(x) = p(y)$, then $x - y \in I$, hence $q_L(i, x-y) \in q_L(I, I) = 0$ and so $q_L(i, x) = q_L(i, y)$, which implies q^*_L is well-defined and

it is easily seen that q_L^* is linear. If $q_L^*(i) = q_L^*(j)$, then $q_L^*(i)(p(x)) = q_L^*(j)(p(x)), \forall x \in L$, i.e., $q_L(i,x) = q_L(j,x)$, which implies $i - j \in L^\perp = 0$, hence q_L^* is injective. Note that $\dim I = \dim B^*$, then q_L^* is surjective.

In addition, q_L^* has the following property:

$$\begin{aligned} q_L^*([x,i])(p(y)) &= q_L([x,i]_L, y) = -\delta([i,x]_L, y) = -\delta q_L(i,[x,y]_L) \\ &= -\delta q_L^*(i)p([x,y]_L) = -\delta q_L^*(i)[p(x),p(y)]_L \\ &= -q_L^*(i)(\mathrm{ad}p(x)(p(y))) = \delta(\pi(p(x))q_L^*(i))(p(y)) \\ &= [p(x), q_L^*(i)]_{L\oplus L^*}(p(y)), \end{aligned}$$

where $x, y \in L$, $i \in I$. A similar computation shows that

$$q_L^*([x,i]) = [p(x), q_L^*(i)]_{L\oplus L^*}, \quad q_L^*([i,x]) = [q_L^*(i), p(x)]_{L\oplus L^*}.$$

Define a homogeneous bilinear map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \omega: & B\times B & \longrightarrow & B^* \\ & (p(b_0), p(b_0')) & \longmapsto & q_L^*(p_1([b_0,b_0'])), \end{array}$$

where $b_0, b_0' \in B_0$. Then $|w| = 0$ and w is well-defined since the restriction of the projection p to B_0 is a linear isomorphism.

Let φ be the linear map $L \to B \oplus B^*$ defined by $\varphi(b_0 + i) = p(b_0) + q_L^*(i), \forall b_0 + i \in B_0 \dot{+} I = L$. Since the restriction of p to B_0 and q_L^* are linear isomorphisms, φ is also a linear isomorphism. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi([b_0+i, b_0'+i']_L) = \varphi([b_0,b_0']_L + [b_0,i']_L + [i,b_0']_L) \\ = \;& \varphi(p_0([b_0,b_0']_L) + p_1([b_0,b_0']_L) + [b_0,i']_L + [i,b_0']_L) \\ = \;& p(p_0([b_0,b_0']_L)) + q_L^*(p_1([b_0,b_0']_L) + [b_0,i']_L + [i,b_0']_L) \\ = \;& [p(b_0),p(b_0')]_L + \omega(p(b_0),p(b_0')) + [p(b_0), q_L^*(i')]_L + [q_L^*(i), p(b_0')]_L \\ = \;& [p(b_0),p(b_0')]_L + \omega(p(b_0),p(b_0')) + \delta\pi(p(b_0)(q_L^*(i')) - \pi(p(b_0')(q_L^*(i)) \\ = \;& [p(b_0) + q_L^*(i), p(b_0') + q_L^*(i')]_{B\oplus B^*} \\ = \;& [\varphi(b_0+i), \varphi(b_0'+i')]_{L\oplus L^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Then φ is an isomorphism of algebras, and so $(B \oplus B^*, [\cdot,\cdot]_{B\oplus B^*}, \beta)$ is a hom-Jordan-Lie algebra. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_B(\varphi(b_0+i), \varphi(b_0'+i')) &= q_B(p(b_0) + q_L^*(i), p(b_0') + q_L^*(i')) \\ &= q_L^*(i)(p(b_0')) + q_L^*(i')(p(b_0)) \\ &= q_L(i, b_0') + q_L(i', b_0) \\ &= q_L(b_0+i, b_0'+i'), \end{aligned}$$

then φ is isometric. The relation

$$q_B([\varphi(x),\varphi(y)],\varphi(z)) \;=\; q_B(\varphi([x,y]),\varphi(z))$$

$$= \quad q_L([x,y],z) = q_L(x,[y,z]) = q_B(\varphi(x),[\varphi(y),\varphi(z)]).$$

Which implies that q_B is a nondegenerate invariant Jordan-symmetric bilinear form, and so $(B \oplus B^*, q_B, \beta')$ is a quadratic hom-Jordan-Lie algebra. In this way, we get a T^*-extension $T^*_\omega B$ of B and consequently, (L, q_L, α) and $(T^*_\omega B, q_B, \beta')$ are isometric as required. □

Let$(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ be a hom-Jordan-Lie algebra over a field $\mathbf{K}$, and let $\omega_1 : L \times L \to L^*$ and $\omega_2 : L \times L \to L^*$ be two different Jordancyclic 2-cocycles satisfying $|\omega_1| = |\omega_2| = 0$. The T^*-extensions $T^*_{\omega_1} L$ and $T^*_{w_2} L$ of L are said to be equivalent if there exists an isomorphism of hom-Jordan-Lie algebras $\phi : T^*_{\omega_1} L \to T^*_{\omega_2} L$ which is the identity on the hom-ideal L^* and which induces the identity on the factor hom-Jordan-Lie algebra $T^*_{\omega_1} L/L^* \cong L \cong T^*_{\omega_2} L/L^*$. The two T^*-extensions $T^*_{\omega_1} L$ and $T^*_{\omega_2} L$ are said to be isometrically equivalent if they are equivalent and ϕ is an isometry.

Proposition 7.11. *Let L be a hom-Jordan-Lie algebra over a field $\mathbf{K}$ of characteristic not equal to 2, and ω_1, ω_2 be two Jordancyclic 2-cocycles $L \times L \to L^*$ satisfying $|\omega_i| = 0$. Then we have*

(i) $T^*_{\omega_1} L$ is equivalent to $T^*_{\omega_2} L$ if and only if there is $z \in C^1(L, L^*)$ such that

$$\omega_1(x,y) - \omega_2(x,y) = \delta\pi(x)z(y) - \pi(y)z(x) - z([x,y]_L), \forall x, y \in L. \tag{29}$$

If this is the case, then the Jordansymmetric part z_s of z, defined by $z_s(x)(y) := \frac{1}{2}(z(x)(y) + z(y)(x))$, for all $x, y \in L$, induces a Jordansymmetric invariant bilinear form on L.

(ii) $T^*_{\omega_1} L$ is isometrically equivalent to $T^*_{\omega_2} L$ if and only if there is $z \in C^1(L, L^*)$ such that (33) *holds for all $x, y \in L$ and the Jordansymmetric part z_s of z vanishes.*

Proof. (i) $T^*_{\omega_1} L$ is equivalent to $T^*_{\omega_2} L$ if and only if there is an isomorphism of hom-Jordan-Lie algebras $\Phi : T^*_{\omega_1} L \to T^*_{\omega_2} L$ satisfying $\Phi|_{L^*} = 1_{L^*}$ and $x - \Phi(x) \in L^*, \forall x \in L$.

Suppose that $\Phi : T^*_{\omega_1} L \to T^*_{\omega_2} L$ is an isomorphism of hom-Jordan-Lie algebra and define a linear map $z : L \to L^*$ by $z(x) := \Phi(x) - x$, then $z \in C^1(L, L^*)$ and for all $x + f, y + g \in T^*_{\omega_1} L$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi([x+f, y+g]_\Omega) \\ = \quad & \Phi([x,y]_L + \omega_1(x,y) + \delta\pi(x)g - \pi(y)f) \\ = \quad & [x,y]_L + z([x,y]_L) + \omega_1(x,y) + \delta\pi(x)g - \pi(y)f. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & [\Phi(x+f), \Phi(y+g)] \\ = \quad & [x + z(x) + f, y + z(y) + g] \\ = \quad & [x,y]_L + \omega_2(x,y) + \delta\pi(x)g + \delta\pi(x)z(y) - \pi(y)z(x) - \pi(y)f. \end{aligned}$$

Since Φ is an isomorphism, (32) holds.

Conversely, if there exists $z \in C^1(L, L^*)$ satisfying (30), then we can define $\Phi : T^*_{\omega_1}L \to T^*_{\omega_2}L$ by $\Phi(x+f) := x + z(x) + f$. It is easy to prove that Φ is an isomorphism of hom-Jordan-Lie algebras such that $\Phi|_{L^*} = \mathrm{Id}_{L^*}$ and $x - \Phi(L) \in L^*, \forall x \in L$, i.e. $T^*_{\omega_1}L$ is equivalent to $T^*_{\omega_2}L$.

Consider the Jordanymmetric bilinear form $q_L : L \times L \to \mathbf{K}, (x, y) \mapsto z_s(x)(y)$ induced by z_s. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_1(x,y)(m) - \omega_2(x,y)(m) \\ = \;& \delta\pi(x)z(y)(m) - \pi(y)z(x)(m) - z([x,y]_L)(m) \\ = \;& -\delta z(y)([x,m]_L) + z(x)([y,m]_L) - z([x,y]_L)(m), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_1(y,m)(x) - \omega_2(y,m)(x) \\ = \;& \delta\pi(y)z(m)(x) - \pi(m)z(y)(x) - z([y,m]_L(x)) \\ = \;& z(m)([x,y]_L) - \delta z(y)([x,m]_L) - z([y,m]_L)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since both ω_1 and ω_2 are Jordancyclic, the right hand sides of above two equations are equal. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & -\delta z(y)([x,m]_L) + z(x)([y,m]_L) - z([x,y]_L)(m) \\ = \;& z(m)([x,y]_L) - \delta z(y)([x,m]_L) - z([y,m]_L)(x). \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$z(x)([y,m]_L) + z([y,m]_L)(x) = z([x,y]_L)(m) + z(m)([x,y]_L).$$

Since ch$\mathbf{K} \neq 2$, $q_L(x,[y,m]) = q_L([x,y],m)$, which proves the invariance of the Jordan-symmetric bilinear form q_L induced by z_s.

(ii) Let the isomorphism Φ be defined as in (i). Then for all $x+f, y+g \in L \oplus L^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & q_B(\Phi(x+f), \Phi(y+g)) = q_B(x + z(x) + f, y + z(y) + g) \\ = \;& z(x)(y) + f(y) + z(y)(x) + g(x) \\ = \;& z(x)(y) + z(y)(x) + f(y) + g(x) \\ = \;& 2z_s(x)(y) + q_B(x+f, y+g). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Φ is an isometry if and only if $z_s = 0$. □

References

[1] F. Ammar, I. Ayadi, S. Mabrouk, A. Makhlouf, *Quadratic color Hom-Lie algebras*, e-Print: arXiv:1204.5155.

[2] F. Ammar, Z. Ejbehi, A. Makhlouf, *Cohomology and deformations of Hom-algebras*, J. Lie Theory 21 (4) (2011) 813-836.

[3] F. Ammar, A. Makhlouf, N. Saadoui, *Cohomology of Hom-Lie superalgebras and q-deforemed Witt superalgebra*, Czechoslovak Math. J. 63 (138) (3) (2013) 721-761.

[4] J. Hartwig, D. Larsson, S. Silvestrov, *Deformations of Lie algebras using σ-derivations*, J. Algebra 295 (2) (2006) 314-361.

[5] D. Larsson, S. Silvestrov, *Quasi-hom-Lie algebras, central extensions and 2-cocycle-like identities*, J. Algebra 288 (2) (2005) 321-344.

[6] J. Lin, Y. Wang, S. Deng, *T^*-extension of Lie triple systems*, Linear Algebra Appl. 431 (11) (2009) 2071-2083.

[7] Y. Liu, L. Chen, Y. Ma, *Hom-Nijienhuis operators and T^*-extensions of hom-Lie superalgebras*, Linear Algebra Appl. 439 (7) (2013) 2131-2144.

[8] A. Makhlouf, S. Silvestrov, *Hom-algebras and Hom-coalgebras*, J. Algebra Appl. 9 (4) (2010) 553-589.

[9] S. Okubo, N. Kamiya, *Jordan-Lie superalgebra and Jordan-Lie triple system*, J. Algebra 198 (2) (1997) 388-411.

[10] L. Qian, J. Zhou, L. Chen, *Engel's theorem for Jordan-Lie algebras and its applications*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A 33 (5) (2012) 517-526 (in Chinese).

[11] Y. Sheng, *Representations of Hom-Lie algebras*, Algebr. Represent. Theory 15 (6) (2012) 1081-1098.